UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

of

Lih-Jyh Weng
Bruce Buch

for

SIMPLE ERROR-CORRECTION CODES FOR DATA BUFFERS

DALY, CROWLEY & MOFFORD, LLP
227 Turnpike Street, Suite 101
Canton, MA 02021-2354
Telephone (781) 401-9988
Facsimile (781) 401-9966

Express Mail Label No.: EU940038255US

SIMPLE ERROR-CORRECTION CODES FOR DATA BUFFERS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5   This application claims priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application No.

60/452,416 (Attorney Docket No. 3123-554-prov), filed March 6, 2003, which is

incorporated herein by reference in its entirety for all purposes.

BACKGROUND

10   The invention relates to encoding and decoding techniques for error correction and

detection.

       In conventional data storage systems, data being transferred between a processor and

a storage device (such as a hard disk) is buffered in memory. Typically, the buffer memory

is implemented with semiconductor memory devices such as Dynamic Random Access

15   Memory (DRAM) devices.

       Semiconductor memory devices, in particular, DRAMs, are susceptible to errors

known as "soft errors". Soft errors are unexpected or unwanted changes in the value of a bit

(or bits) somewhere in the memory. One bit may suddenly, randomly change state, or noise

may get stored as if it were valid data. Soft errors are caused by external factors, such as

20   terrestrial cosmic rays.

       When soft errors go undetected in a data storage system, erroneous data may be

written to disk, or good data read back can be corrupted before it is sent to the processor. In

order to provide an acceptable level of reliability for data read from a memory array,

therefore, error correcting codes (ECC) such as linear block codes have been employed to correct bit errors in the data stored in the memory. For example, Hamming codes have been used to provide single-bit error correction and double-bit error detection to preserve the data accuracy. As more than two errors cannot be properly detected by the Hamming code, often

5   a Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) code is used to supplement the detection capability of the Hamming code. The CRC has no error correction capability, but can detect errors occurring in more than two bits at a time. Together, the Hamming check and CRC can correct single bit errors and detect multi-bit errors reliably.

Conventional linear block codes such as Hamming codes are efficient and easily

10   implemented by using linear feedback shift registers as encoders. During decoding, however, the error locations are indicated by syndromes, which need to be mapped to the actual locations of the errors in the buffer memory. One common approach to this task is to use a large table, which maps the syndromes to the actual memory locations of the errors. The use of such a lookup table incurs additional complexity and cost.

15

SUMMARY

This invention features a coding technique that produces a syndrome that directly points to the memory location of an erroneous data word so that no syndrome conversion is needed.

20   In one aspect of the invention, a method of decoding errors occurring in data stored in memory includes: (i) applying data to be stored in a buffer memory to a generator

2

matrix to generate parity check bits; (ii) storing the parity check bits in the buffer memory following the data; (iii) reading the stored data and parity check bits; (iv) re-generating the parity check bits; and (v) producing from the stored and re-regenerated parity check bits a syndrome of a form that is usable to directly identify a location of an erroneous bit

5   of the data in the buffer memory.

In another aspect of the invention, an apparatus includes a controller coupled to a storage medium and a buffer memory coupled to the controller for storing data to be written to the storage medium and data read from the storage medium. The controller is operable to perform the following steps: (i) applying data to be stored in a buffer memory to a

10   generator matrix to generate parity check bits; (ii) storing the parity check bits in the buffer memory following the data; (iii) reading the stored data and parity check bits; (iv) regenerating the parity check bits; and (v) producing from the stored and regenerated parity check bits a result that is usable to directly identify a location of an erroneous bit of the data in the buffer memory.

15   Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description, and from the claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 shows a block diagram of a data storage system that employs an error

20   correcting code (ECC) unit encoder that produces error syndromes that directly identify error locations in buffer memory.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of the ECC unit (shown in FIG. 1) having a parity check bit generator used during encoding and decoding, and an error detector/corrector (EDC) used during decoding.

FIG. 3 is a depiction of memory buffers, each of which stores a block of data

5   followed by a CRC check and ECC check computed for the data during encoding.

FIG. 4 is a depiction of a generator matrix with a Hamming distance of two.

FIG. 5 is a depiction of a generator matrix with a Hamming distance of three for a code with single-bit error correction capability.

FIG. 6 is a depiction of an alternative generator matrix with a Hamming distance of

10   three for a code with single-bit error correction capability.

FIG. 7 is a depiction of a generator matrix with a Hamming distance of four for a code with single-bit error correction capability.

FIG. 8 is a schematic diagram of a single-bit embodiment of the parity check bit generator (of FIG. 2) that implements parity check generation based on the generator matrix

15   of FIG. 7.

FIGS. 9A and 9B collectively show a schematic diagram of an exemplary 16-bit embodiment of the parity check bit generator (of FIG. 2) that implements parity check generation based on the generator matrix of FIG. 7.

FIG. 10 is a flow diagram of a decoding procedure performed by the ECC unit of

20   FIG. 2.

FIG. 11 is an exemplary format of a syndrome produced by the decoding procedure

4

of FIG. 10.

FIG. 12 is a depiction of a generator matrix with a distance of six for a code with double-bit error correction capability.

FIG. 13 is a C-code representation of a 32-bit embodiment of the parity check bit generator (of FIG. 2) that implements parity check generation based on the generator matrix of FIG. 12.

FIG. 14 is a depiction of an alternative generator matrix with a distance of six for a code with double-bit error correction capability.

Like reference numerals will be used to represent like elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Referring to FIG. 1, an exemplary data processing system 10 is shown. The data processing system 10 includes a processor 12 coupled to an I/O system 14. The I/O system 14 includes a controller 16 coupled to an I/O device 18. In one embodiment, as illustrated in the figure, the I/O system 14 is a data storage system 14 and the I/O device includes storage media 18, as shown. The storage media 18 can be a hard disk unit or some other type of mass storage. Alternatively, the controller 16 could be connected to some other type of I/O device, for example, a network device such as a transceiver that transmits and receives data over a network.

In the illustrated embodiment, the data storage system 14 includes a front end (FE) interface 20 that interfaces the controller 16 to the processor 12, a back end (BE) interface

21 that interfaces the controller 16 to the storage media 18 and a buffer memory 22, which serves as a staging area for data being transferred between the processor 12 and the storage media 18 via the two interfaces 20, 21. In one embodiment, the buffer memory 22 is implemented as Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) which, as discussed

5   above, may be particularly vulnerable to single- and multi-bit error occurrences.

In one exemplary embodiment, the controller 16 is configured to support coding to ensure the integrity of the data that is stored in the buffer memory 22. In particular, the coding includes error correction coding (ECC). The ECC is in the form of a linear block code that, in one embodiment, is similar to a Hamming code, and in another

10   embodiment, is similar to a BCH code, as will be discussed later. For additional error detection capability, and as shown, the controller 16 may support Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) coding as well.

Data to be written to the storage media 18 is provided to the FE interface 20, which provides the data to a CRC unit 24 and ECC unit 26 via bus 28. The CRC unit 24

15   and ECC unit 26 compute CRC checks and ECC checks, respectively, for the received data. The checks are appended to the data. The data and the checks are stored in the buffer memory 22. When the data is read from the buffer memory 22 for transfer to the disk unit 18, the checks are re-computed for error detection and correction, as will be described.

20   Similarly, when data is moved from the storage media 18 to the processor 12, the data is provided to the controller 16 by the storage media 18 via the BE interface 21. The

data is again stored in the buffer memory 22 temporarily. As before, checks are computed and stored along with the data in the buffer memory, and then re-computed when the data is read from the buffer memory 22 for transfer to the processor 12 via the FE interface 20.

5   The exemplary data storage system 14 as thus described with reference to FIG. 1 is intended to illustrate only those aspects of the storage system 14 which pertain to encoding and decoding of buffered data. Hence, some functions are omitted and others simplified to a level appropriate to a discussion of the encoding and decoding processes as follows.

10   As is well known in the art, Extended Hamming codes allow the correction of single bit errors and detection of two bit errors per each unit of data plus associated parity check, called a "code word". This is accomplished by using more than one parity bit, each computed on different combinations of bits in the data. The number of parity check bits required is given by the Hamming rule and is a function of the number of bits of data

15 transmitted. The Hamming rule is expressed by the following $k+p + 2 \leq 2^P$ where k is the number of data bits and p is the number of parity check bits.

          In one embodiment, as illustrated in and described with reference to FIGS. 3-11, the ECC utilized by the encoding and decoding mechanisms of the present invention is similar to the Extended Hamming code in some aspects. Unlike the conventional

20 Hamming code and Extended Hamming code, which has a length of 2^P-1 bits, inclusive of both data and parity check bits (p for Hamming code and p+1 for Extended Hamming

7

code), however, the code described herein appends the parity check bits to 2^P-1 data bits

for a code length of (2^P-1) plus the number of parity check bits, e.g., p+1 or p+2.

As with the conventional Hamming and Extended Hamming codes, the ECC code

word of the illustrated embodiments is generated by multiplying the data bits by a

5   generator matrix G using modulo-2 arithmetic. For an (n,k) code having an n-bit code

word with k-bits of data followed by "n-k" parity check bits, the (n,k) code is described

by a k x n generator matrix G and by an "n-k" x n parity check matrix H. The resulting

code word vector (c_1, c_2, c_3, ..., c_n), includes the original data bits 'd' and the calculated

parity bits 'p'. Hamming weight is the number of non-zero bits in a binary n-tuple. The

10   Hamming distance is the number of bits in which two binary n-tuples differ.

The generator matrix G used in constructing the ECC consists of an identity

matrix I and a parity generation matrix P: $G=[I_k P]$. The P partition of G is responsible

for the generation of the actual parity check bits. Each column in P represents one parity

check bit calculation computed on a subset of data d. Validating the received code word r

15   (in the illustrated embodiment, the received code word is the code word as read from the

buffer memory 22) involves multiplying it by the parity check matrix to form a syndrome

vector "s". That is, where parity matrix $H=[P^T I_{n-k}]$, syndrome $s = H*r^T$. If all elements of

s are zero, the code word was received correctly. Typically, if s contains non-zero

elements, the bit in error can be corrected as long as the error involves only a single bit.

20   Still referring to FIG. 1, the ECC unit 26 uses an ECC to generate an "n-k"-bit

parity check from a k-bit data vector. In the illustrated embodiment, $k=2^{14}$ and "n-k" is

14; however, parity checks and data vectors of other sizes may be used. The parity check

is stored along with the data vector during the buffer memory write cycle. During the

buffer memory read cycle, all of memory words of the code word c are read back from the

buffer memory 22 as code word r, and are processed to determine if an error has occurred

5   in the code word.

Referring to FIG. 2, the ECC unit 26 includes the following functional units: a

data interface 30, a parity check bit generator 32, an error detector/corrector (EDC) 34

and a control logic block 36. During a buffer memory write operation, a vector of data

from a data source (e.g., the processor 12 in the case of disk writes, or the storage media

10   18 in the case of disk reads) is received by the data interface 30 over a data I/O bus 38

and then provided to the parity check bit generator 32 via data interconnect 40. In the

illustrated embodiment of the ECC unit 26, the vector of data is 2^{14} bits in length and is

transferred 16-bit words at a time. As the data is received by the parity check bit

generator 32, the parity check bit generator 32 generates parity check bits for the data

15   vector. Both the data words of the data vector and the parity check bits associated with

the data vector are then written to the buffer memory 22 via data memory bus 42 and

parity check memory bus 44, respectively. During a buffer memory read operation, the

data vector and associated parity check that were stored in the buffer memory 22, now

possibly in error, are retrieved from the buffer memory over the bus lines 42 and 44.

20   Parity check bits are re-computed for the data vector by the parity check bit generator 32.

The stored parity check, which has been read from buffer memory, is provided to the

9

EDC 34 via a first parity check bus 46 and the re-computed parity check bits are provided

to the EDC 34 over a second parity check bus 48. The EDC 34 also receives the data

vector via the interconnect 40. The EDC 34 compares, by an EXCLUSIVE-OR ("XOR")

operation, the re-computed parity check bits with the original parity check bits that were

5   stored in the buffer memory 22. The XOR of the stored parity check bits with the re-

computed parity check bits produces a syndrome. The syndrome is analyzed for error

detection and correction possibilities. The EDC 34 applies the appropriate error

correction, as necessary, as well as providing error flags to the processor 12 in the form of

a two-bit syndrome code, as will be explained below.

10   The embodiment of the ECC unit 26 shown in FIG. 2, as well as FIGS. 9A-9B,

and the buffer memory organization are based on a 16-bit word implementation.

However, it will be appreciated that, as word size is a matter of design choice, other word

sizes may be used.

Referring to FIG. 3, the buffer memory 22, shown only in partial view, includes a

15   plurality of buffers 50, each having a number of buffer locations 52 for storing all 16-bit

words of the data vector. The illustrated embodiment assumes a payload of 1024 16-bit

words (2048-bytes of data buffer) plus 2 bytes of CRC. The ECC check occupies the

1026th word of each buffer. The CRC check and ECC check computed for data stored in

the buffer locations 52 are stored in check buffer locations 54 and 56, respectively.

20   Referring to FIG. 4, a generator matrix ("G_2") 60 of k rows 62 by n columns

(that is, k x n elements) is given. In the illustrated embodiment, k is equal to '2^{14}-1'

10

(number of matrix rows 62) and n is equal to '2^{14}-1+14' (number of matrix columns). The matrix 60 includes a k x k element data portion 64 and a k x 14 redundancy portion 66. The elements of each row 62 in each redundancy portion 66, denoted by reference number 68, form a binary representation of a count corresponding to the position of data

5   in the buffer, that is, the buffer locations. The elements in each row in the data portion are indicated by reference number 69. Columns 70 of the redundancy portion 66 of the generator matrix 60 are used to generate the parity check bits by selecting different combinations of data bits and generating parity from them. Thus, bits in each of the columns 70 are referred to herein as "parity generation bits".

10   It can be seen from the figure that the minimum Hamming distance of the code is 2. As is known, the minimum distance of a Hamming code should be at least three in order to correct a single error.

The minimum distance of the code described by the generator matrix G_2 can be extended to three in a number of ways. Exemplary generator matrices of a minimum

15   distance 3 code are shown in FIGS. 5 and 6. Referring first to FIG. 5, a generator matrix "G_3" 80 is produced from G_2 (of FIG. 4) by inserting a column of ones 82 into G_2 at any redundant bit location. This column provides another check on the data bits (data portion 64). With the inclusion of this new parity check generation column 82, every row of the resulting matrix G_3 80 has a weight of at least three. Referring now to FIG. 6, a second

20   generator matrix "G'_3" 80' that can be used to increase the distance to 3 is formed by adding another parity check generation column 84 that provides a parity check on the

11

redundant bits (portion 66) of G_2.

Referring to FIG. 7, a generator matrix "G_4" 90 having a minimum distance 4

code is shown. This matrix is obtained by combining the parity bits of G_3 and G'_3. That

is, this matrix incorporates both of columns 82 and 84, as shown. In the illustrated

5   matrix, column 0 corresponds to a "parity-on-parity" check generation column 84 and

column 15 corresponds to the data parity check generation column 82. The columns 82

and 84 could be inserted at other column locations within the redundancy portion 66,

however.

It is a simple matter to prove that G_4 is indeed a minimum distance 4 code. First,

10   it can be seen that each row contains at least four '1''s. Therefore, any combination of

rows will have even weights. Bits 1 to 14 provide distinct lists of all $2^{14}-1$ binary

representations of integers 1 to $2^{14}-1$. Therefore, the sum of any two rows of G_4 has at

least a single one among bit 1 to bit 14. Together with parity check bit 0, bits 0 through

14 have a weight of at least two for any combination of two rows. Therefore, any

15   combination of two rows has a minimum weight of 4 – two from bit 15 to bit $2^{14}-1$ and

two from bit 0 to bit 15. For any linear combination of three or more rows of G_4 the

parity check bit 15 guarantees that the minimum weight is 4.

The codes described by the generator matrices of FIGS. 5-7 can be implemented

by a counter and parity check generation circuitry. It will be assumed that columns 70

20   produce P[0] through P[13], while column 82 is used to produce P[14] and column 84 is

used to produce the most significant parity check bit, P[15]. The implementation of the

code described by generator matrix G_4 can best be illustrated conceptually with a circuit that receives a serial bit stream of data and produces from that data the 16-bit parity check word P[0] through P[15], as shown in FIG. 8.

Referring to FIG. 8, an embodiment of the parity check bit generator 32 for a
5 serial stream of data includes fourteen AND gates 92a-92n, sixteen XOR gates 94a-94p, fifteen registers 96a-96o and a bit counter 98. Each bit of the serial data stream is provided to each of the AND gates 92 and XOR gate 94o. Each of AND gates 92a-92n is also coupled to a bit in the bit counter 98. The outputs of AND gates 92a-92n are coupled to corresponding ones of the XOR gates, that is, XOR gates 94a-94o, which
10 XOR the AND gate output with the contents of corresponding registers 96a-96n, which store running values of P[0] through P[13], respectively. Each data bit is also provided to XOR 94o, which XORs that bit with the value of P[14] stored in register 96o to produce a new value of P[14]. When the bit counter has counted all 2^{14} data bits, the final values of P[0] through P[14] are XOR'ed by the XOR gate 94p to produce P[15], which is stored in
15 register 96p. The final values of the parity check bits P[0] through P[15] are then read from registers 96a-96p, respectively.

It will be appreciated that the circuit elements used to produce P[15], that is, XOR 94p and register 96p, could be eliminated for an implementation of the code described by the generator matrices G'_3 (FIG. 6) . Similarly, an implementation of the code described
20 by the generator matrix G_3 (FIG. 5) would not require circuit elements 94o and 96o used to produce P[14].

13

In practice, multiple data bits are transferred in parallel over a parallel data path or bus, for example, a 32-bit or 64-bit data bus. Another exemplary implementation of the parity check bit generator 32, this time having a parallel bus of N bits and a buffer width of N-bits, usable to encode the distance 4 code (described by the generator matrix G_4 of

5   FIG. 7), is shown in FIGS. 9A and 9B. In the illustrated example, N is 16, but it will be appreciated that different units of data transfer and storage, e.g., 32-bit data buses and 32-bit wide buffers, may be used.

Referring to FIGS. 9A and 9B, the parity check bit generator 32 encodes data according to the generator matrix 90 (FIG. 7) to produce a 16-bit parity check word of

10   parity check bits P[0] through P[15]. It is assumed that the parity-on-parity producing column, shown as column 84 (the first column in the redundant portion of the matrix in FIG. 7), is used to produce the most significant parity check bit, P[15]. FIG. 9A shows a portion of the parity check bit generator circuitry, circuitry 100, used to generate parity check bits P[0] through P[3], 102a-102d. FIG. 9B shows a portion of the parity check bit

15   generator circuitry, circuitry 104, used to generate parity check bits P[4] through P[15], 102e-102p, as well as clock signal 106 and parity bus 108.

Referring to FIG. 9A, the circuitry 100 includes a set of AND gates 110a-d, XOR gates 112a-d and registers 114a-d. The registers 114a, 114b, 114c and 114d are accumulators and thus maintain running values of P[0], P[1], P[2] and P[3], respectively.

20   They are initialized to zero. Each of the AND gates is connected to a corresponding one of the bit patterns/matrix columns 70 (shown here as 70a, 70b, 70c and 79d) and a data

bus 116, which provides the 16-bit data words. More specifically, AND gate 110a is

connected to P[0] check generation bits of column 1, AND gate 110b is connected to P[1]

check generation bits of column 2, and so on. The XOR gates 124 receive as inputs the

output of a corresponding one of the AND gates and the current content of a

5   corresponding one of the registers 114. That is, XOR gate 110a receives the output of

AND gate 112a and the current value of register 114a, XOR gate 110b receives the output

of AND gate 112b and the current value of register 114b, and so on. The registers 114

are clocked by clock signal 106 for each new data word.

Still referring to FIG. 9A, the parity check bits P[0]-P[3] are generated as follows.

10   The parity bit P[0] 102a is generated as the parity sum of all data words received on bus

116 after AND'ing each data word with a 1010101010101010 bit pattern according to the

bit selection of the first generator matrix column. Thus, P[0] is the parity of all odd bits.

The parity bit P[1] is generated as the parity sum of all data words after AND'ing each

data word with a 1100110011001100 bit pattern according to the second generator matrix

15   column so that P[1] is the parity of all the odd-bit pairs. The parity bit P[2] is generated

as the parity sum of all data words after AND'ing each data word with a

1111000011110000 bit pattern to select the odd nibbles according to the third column of

the generator matrix. The parity bit P[3] 102d is generated as the parity sum of all data

words after AND'ing each data word with a 1111111100000000 bit pattern to select the

20   odd bytes according to the fourth column of the generator matrix.

Referring to FIG. 9B, the circuitry 104 includes a counter 120, a set of AND gates

15

110e-110m, a set of XOR gates 112e-112o and a set of registers 114e-114o. The registers

114e, 114f, 114g,..., 114m maintain running values of P[4], P[5], P[6], ... P[15],

respectively, and are initialized to zero. The registers 114 are clocked by clock signal 106

for each new data word that is received. Each of the AND gates is tied to a different bit of

5   the counter 12, with the AND gate 110e being tied to the counter's least significant bit and

the AND gate 110n being tied to the counter's most significant bit. Each of the AND gates

receives as a second input a data word parity 122. The XOR gates 112e-112n receive as

inputs the output of a corresponding one of the AND gates and the current content of a

corresponding one of the registers 114. That is, XOR gate 112e receives the output of

10   AND gate 110e and the current value of register 114e, XOR gate 112f receives the output

of AND gate 110f and the current value of register 114f, and so on. The XOR gate 112o

is coupled the the data word parity and the current value of P[14] stored in the register

114o. The parity bits P[0]-P[3] (from circuitry 100, FIG. 8A) and P[4]-P[14] stored in

the corresponding registers 114 are provided to a parity circuit 124 via parity bus 108 to

15   produce parity bit P[15] 102p, which is stored in the last register 114p.

Still referring to FIG. 9B, the parity check bits P[4] through P[15] are generated as

follows. The counter 120 counts each of the 16-bit words as they are received in (or read

from) the buffer memory. Thus, the counter value represents the address offset of the

data word relative to the start of a buffer. In this example, the counter is a 10-bit counter

20   and counts from 1 to 1024. The circuitry 104 computes the parity bits P[4] through P[15]

as follows. The parity bits P[4] through P[13] are the sum the parity of whole data words

16

depending on the address offset of the data word relative to the start of the buffer. For example, if the address offset [0] is set, it sums the parity of the whole word into the running P[4] register 114e. If the address offset [1] is set, it sums the parity of the whole data word into the running P[5]. If the address offset [8] is set, it sums the parity of the

5   whole word into the running P[12] register. The parity bit P[14] (from the column of all ones) is the parity of the whole data block. The parity bit P[15] is the parity of the check bits P[0] through P[14].

As was the case with the single bit embodiment of FIG. 8, the parity check bit generator of FIGS. 9A and 9B can be suitably adapted to produce fewer parity check bits for

10 parity check words based on the matrices G_3 and G'_3. The circuitry involved in the generation of P[14] would not be needed for an implementation of the code described by the generator matrix G_3 (FIG. 5) . An implementation of the code described by the generator matrix G'_3 (FIG. 6) would not require the circuit elements used to produce P[15].

15 Referring to FIG. 10, an exemplary decoding procedure 130 used to decode an erroneous code word read from a buffer in the buffer memory 22 is shown. The decoding procedure 130 begins (step 132) by reading the contents of the buffer, that is, the stored data and associated ECC check, from the buffer in buffer memory (step 134). The read data is provided to the parity check bit generator, which re-computes the parity

20 check bits for the data (step 136). The recomputed parity check and the stored parity check are provided to the EDC, which compares the two by XOR'ing them to produce a

17

binary syndrome value (step 138). The decoding procedure 130 processes the syndrome

value for error detection and/or correction (step 140) and terminates (step 142).

Referring to FIG. 11, an exemplary format of a syndrome 150 generated by the

decoding procedure 130 (of FIG. 10) is shown. The syndrome includes 16-bits, bits S[0]

5   through S[15]. Bits S[4] through S[13] define an address offset location 152 and bits

S[0] through S[3] define a bit location 154. Remaining bits S[14] and S[15] form a 2-bit

syndrome code 156 that signals to the data source whether a signal or double bit error has

been detected. The address offset begins at buffer location 1, as illustrated, to easily

handle the special case of identifying an error in data word 0.

10   The syndrome 150 is interpreted according to TABLE 1 below.

TABLE 1

SYNDROME BIT[15]	SYNDROME BIT [14]	SYNDROME BITS [13:0]	INTERPRETATION
0	0	0	No error
0	0	$\neq 0$	Two-bit error in data
0	1	0	Error in data
0	1	$\neq 0$	Single-bit error in data; word number (location) and bit number of bit in error indicated by syndrome bits [13:4] & syndrome bits [3:0], respectively
1	0	0	Error in check, no error in data
1	0	$\neq 0$	Error in check and data; two-bit error detected in data
1	1	0	Error in check and in data
1	1	$\neq 0$	Error in check and data; single-bit error detected in data; word number (location) and bit number of bit in error indicated by syndrome bits [13:4] & syndrome bits [3:0],

			respectively

The first case in the table represents the normal, " no error" condition. In the fourth and eighth cases (corresponding to a single-bit error), where at least one of bits S[14] and S[15] is a '1' and the bits S[0] through S[13] are not all zeros, the syndrome

5   150 directly identifies the word location and bit location of a single error in syndrome address offset field 152 and bit location field 154, respectively. The ECC unit 26, having detected a single-bit error and determined the location in memory, performs the correction. Single bit errors in the check are also identified. In response to a parity check error, the processor 12 may send the ECC unit 26 through a correction cycle even though

10   the data was not in error. Double-bit errors are flagged (via the syndrome code 156) but not corrected. These errors may occur in any two bits in the codeword read from memory (two errors in the data, two errors in the check, or one error in each). Errors in three or more bits are beyond the capabilities of the ECC unit 26 to detect.

To increase the distance of the ECC further, more redundant bits are needed in the

15   generator matrix. Thus, in another embodiment that uses a code similar to a BCH code, as described hereinafter with reference to FIGS. 12-14, double-bit error correction capability is achieved.

As shown in FIG. 12, to increase the minimum distance to five, a generator matrix "G_5" 160 is formed by adding 14 bits 162 (bits 15 to 28) to the redundant bits in each

20   row of the generator matrix G_4 in such a way that these 14 bits have the same properties

19

as the first 14 bits 68 of G_4. If the first 14 bits 68 are denoted β^k, then the additional 14 bits 162 can be β^{sk} provided "s" does not divide 2^{14}-1. Because 3 divides 2^{14}-1, the next smallest integer that can be used for s is 5. Another value of s that may be used is 2^{14}-2=-1.

5   A Galois field of GF(2^{14}) may be chosen for the code. The operations are performed in this field but the error locations are ordered as 1,2,3,...., k, where k is the data length in bits. As usual, an irreducible polynomial of degree 14 $p(x) = x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^9 + x^8 + x + 1$ is selected to generate all of the field elements as x^k mod p(x) for k=0,1,2,..., 2^{14}-2.

10   A code of distance 5 can be expressed in the conventional Galois field GF(2^{14}) generated by p(x). All of the field elements of the conventional field can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis $x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3,..., x^{13}$. Because the encoding and the decoding of a BCH type of code involves many operations of raising an element in power, multiplications and finding the inverse of the field element, however, a different

15   field representation more suitable for ease of implementation may be used. Instead of using $x^0, x^1, x^2,....x^{13}$ as the basis, the elements $x^1, x^2, x^4, x^8, x^{16}, x^{32}, x^{64}, x^{128}, x^{256}, x^{512}$, $x^{1024}, x^{2048}, x^{4096}, x^{8192}$ and x^{16384} are selected as the new basis, which is called a "normal" basis. It is convenient to use a 14-bit binary vector to represent the field elements, and the normal basis are:

20   x^1 = <binary> 00 0000 0000 0001 = <hex> 0001

        x^2 = <binary> 00 0000 0000 0010 = <hex> 0002

20

$$x^4 = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 0000\ 0100 = \text{<hex>} 0004$$

$$x^8 = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 0000\ 1000 = \text{<hex>} 0008$$

$$x^{16} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 0001\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0010$$

$$x^{32} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 0010\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0020$$

$$x^{64} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 0100\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0040$$

$$x^{128} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0000\ 1000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0080$$

$$x^{256} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0001\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0100$$

$$x^{512} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0010\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0200$$

$$x^{1024} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 0100\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0400$$

$$x^{2048} = \text{<binary>} 00\ 1000\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 0800$$

$$x^{4096} = \text{<binary>} 01\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 1000$$

$$x^{8192} = \text{<binary>} 10\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000 = \text{<hex>} 2000$$

If the field elements with normal basis representation are denoted β^k for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$ $2^{14}-2$, then the first few field elements of β^k are given by:

$$B^0 = \text{<hex>} 3\text{fff}$$

$$\beta^1 = \text{<hex>} 0001$$

$$\beta^2 = \text{<hex>} 0002$$

$$\beta^3 = \text{<hex>} 0021$$

$$\beta^4 = \text{<hex>} 0004$$

$$\beta^5 = \text{<hex>} 0120$$

$$\beta^6 = \text{<hex>} 0042$$

21

$\beta^7 = $ <hex> 1121

$\beta^8 = $ <hex> 0008

$\beta^9 = $ <hex> 1400

$\beta^{10} = $ <hex> 0240

5     $\beta^{11} = $ <hex> 1d00

$\beta^{12} = $ <hex> 0084

$\beta^{13} = $ <hex> 0093

$\beta^{13} = $ <hex> 0930

$\beta^{14} = $ <hex> 2242

10     $\beta^{15} = $ <hex> 3d31

$\beta^{16} = $ <hex> 0010

$\beta^{17} = $ <hex> 2080

$\beta^{18} = $ <hex> 2800

$\beta^{19} = $ <hex> 2290

15     $\beta^{20} = $ <hex> 0480

$\beta^{21} = $ <hex> 1d00

.
.
.

20

.

It can be seen that β^{2*k} can be obtained from β^k by cyclic rotation to the left by one bit. Therefore, all β^{k*q} can be obtained from β^k by cyclic rotation of β^k to the left by s bit

if $q=2^s$.

Still referring to FIG. 12, to achieve a code having a minimum distance 6, a column of parity check generation bits 164 is added to the matrix so that there is a parity check generation bit added to the 14 additional bits 162 in each row. In this manner a

5   distance 6 code can be designed with 31-bit redundancy. To make the number of bits a practical number of 32-bits of 4 bytes, an additional column of parity check generation bits 166 (the column of right-most, underlined bits) is provided. Each bit in this column is obtained as the parity check on the three parity bits in columns 82, 84 and 164 of the same row. Although the additional bit does not increase the minimum distance, it

10 reduces the mis-correction probability by approximately a factor of two.

FIG. 13 shows a C code implementation of encoding processing 170 for the 32-bit code described by the generator matrix 160 (of FIG. 12). Initially, a first variable "syndrome_x_0^" corresponds to the '1' bit in column 82, a second variable "syndrome_x_1^" corresponds to β^k (bits 68) and a third variable "syndrome_x_5^"

15 corresponds to β^{5k} (bits 182). A processing step 172 sets the first variable to a '1', a processing step 173 (routine 'add_parity(position)) appends a single redundant bit (column 84 bit) to β^k to make the total number of 1 bits an even number. A processing step 174 (routine 'add_parity(fifth_power(element))) appends a single redundant bit (column 164 bit) to the element β^{5k}. The element β^{5k} is generated by multiplying the

20 element β^k by itself a total of five times, the equivalent of multiplying the element with a 2-bit cyclic shift version of the element. A processing step 176 generates the parity-on-

partiy bit (column 166) from the redundant bits in columns 82, 84 and 164. These processing steps are repeated for each of the 2^{14}-1 matrix rows.

The single-error-correcting algorithm for the code described by generator matrix 160 is the same as the decoding procedure described above with reference to FIG. 10,

5 except that a mapping of the error location from a Galois field element to the natural number is eliminated. Instead, the error location is provided by the binary representation β^k.

A double-error-correction decoding algorithm for the code described by generator matrix 160 will now be described. The decoding algorithm requires four or more

10 consecutive syndromes S_j, S_{j+1}, S_{j+2} and S_{j+3} to generate the error locator polynomial $\sigma(x)$. The syndrome S_0 for a double-error is equal to 0, and the syndromes S_1, $S_2 = (S_1)^2$, $S_4 = (S_1)^4$ and S_5 are readily available from the parity check bits. Syndrome S_3 is not available, however. The consecutive syndromes are denoted as S_0, S_1, S_2, Z, S_4, S_5 and Z^2, where $S_6 = (S_3)^2 = Z^2$. The parity bit on S_1 is denoted as P_1, the parity bit on S_5 is

15 denoted as P_5, and the parity on S_0, P_1 and P_5 is denoted as Pa.

First, for a single error correction, an error locator polynomial $\sigma(x) = \sigma_1 x + 1$, where $\sigma_1 = S_1$, is assumed. If $S^5 = (S_1)^5$, the correction is correct. If the single correction fails, then a two-error correction should be attempted assuming the error locator polynomial to be $\sigma(x) = \sigma_2 x^2 + \sigma_1 x + \beta^0$.

20 Using the first three consecutive syndromes gives $\sigma_2 S_0 + \sigma_1 S_1 + \beta^0 S_2 = 0$. If one substitutes $S_0 = 0$ and $S_2 = S_1^2$, then $\sigma_1 = S_1$. Using the next three consecutive syndromes

24

starting with S_1 gives $\sigma_2 S_1 + \sigma_1 S_2 + \beta^0 Z = 0$. Substituting $\sigma_1 = S_1$ in the equation gives

$\sigma_2 S_1 + \sigma_1 (S_1)^2 + Z = 0$. Using the next three consecutive syndromes starting at S_4 gives

$\sigma_2 S_4 + \sigma_1 S_5 + \beta^0 Z^2 = 0$. By substituting $\sigma_1 = S_1$ and $S_4 = (S_1)^4$, the equation becomes

$\sigma_2 (S_1)^4 + S_1 * S_5 + Z^2 = 0$. Therefore, the equations to solve are as follows:

$$\sigma_2 S_1 + (S_1)^2 + Z = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

$$\sigma_2 (S_1)^4 + S_1 * S_5 + Z^2 = 0 \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

The first equation (Eq. 1) is squared and then added to the second equation (Eq. 2) to

form $(\sigma_2)^2 (S_1)^2 + \sigma_2 (S_1)^4 + (S_1)^4 + S_1 * S_5 = 0$. The resulting equation is a quadratic

equation for the unknown σ_2, which can be solved with known techniques. Note that there

are two solutions to σ_2, but only one of them with yield the correct results.

An alternative approach is to solve Z first, by multiplying Eq. 1 by $(S_1)^3$ and then

adding it to Eq. 2, to give $(S_1)^5 + S_1 * S^5 + (S_1)^3 * Z + Z^2 = 0$. The resulting equation is a

quadratic equation in Z, which can be solved for $Z = S_3$. As in the case of σ_2, there are

two solutions for Z, but only one correct solution. Once S_3 is known, a conventional

BCH decoding algorithm can be used to find the error locator polynomial $\sigma(x)$.

When the number of errors in the data is known from the solved $\sigma(x)$, the total

number of errors in the data part and in the parity check part should add up to a number no

greater than 2.

Conditions that indicate the number of errors in the check bits (excluding the error

in Pa) are provided in TABLE 2 below.

25

TABLE 2

Case	S_0	P_1	P_5	P_a	Number of errors in check bits
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0
2	0	0	1	0	1
3	0	0	1	1	1
4	0	1	0	0	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	2
7	0	1	1	1	2
8	1	0	0	0	1
9	1	0	0	1	1
10	1	0	1	0	2
11	1	0	1	1	2
12	1	1	0	0	2
13	1	1	0	1	2
14	1	1	1	0	3
15	1	1	1	1	3

In an alternative implementation, and referring to FIG. 14, a matrix G'_6 180 is formed if the elements β^{5k} of the generator matrix G_6 are replaced by an element β^{-k} 182. In this case, the consecutive syndromes S_{-2}, S_{-1}, S_0, S_1 and S_2 can be computed. Noting that $S_{-2} = (S_{-1})^2$ and $S_2 = (S_1)^2$, the equations for the degree 2 $\sigma(x)$ can be formed as $\sigma_2 * S_{-2} + \sigma_1 * S_{-1} + \beta_0 * S_0 = 0$ with $S_0 = 0$ and $S_{-2} = (S_{-1})^2$, which gives $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 * S_{-1}$.

26

Using the next three consecutive syndromes gives $\sigma_2 * S_{-1} + \sigma_1 * S_0 + \beta_0 * S_1 = 0$, with

$S_0 = 0$. Therefore, the coefficients for $\sigma(x)$ become $\sigma_2 = S_1/S_{-1}$ and $\sigma_1 = S_1$.

The inverse of a Galois field element is needed in the above decoding algorithm.

The operations for the inverse computation can be performed in the following manner.

Given a 14-bit Galois field element $A = (a_2, a_1)$, where a_2 is the upper 7 bits of A and a_1 is

the lower 7 bits of A, a second 14-bit Galois field element B is formed by swapping the

upper 7 bits with the lower 7 bits in A so that $B = (a_1, a_2)$. It is possible to form $C = A*B$

and use a table-lookup to find the inverse of C, namely, C^{-1}. Once C^{-1} has be determined,

the inverse of A, A^{-1}, can be determined from $A^{-1} = C^{-1}*B$. The table used in the table-

lookup requires only 128 entries.

To illustrate the encoding/decoding method of the double error correcting code, an

example using a Galois field of $GF(2^3)$ is provided as follows. The example assumes the

Galois field is generated by the irreducible polynomial $p(x) = x^3+x^2+1$, with the field

elements $\{0=(000), \alpha^0 = (001), \alpha^1 = (010), \alpha^2 = (100), \alpha^3 = (101), \alpha^4 = (111), \alpha^5 = (011),$

$\alpha^6 = (110)\}$. This field is represented by the conventional representation. That is, the basis

includes three elements: $\alpha^0 = (001); \alpha^1 = (010);$ and $\alpha^2 = (100)$. To use normal basis

representation, the basis includes the elements $\alpha^1 = (010), \alpha^2 = (100)$ and $\alpha^4 = (111)$.

All of the elements in normal basis representations can be obtained as follows. The

method uses $\beta^j=[xyz]$ to represent the normal basis representation, where [xyz] is a binary

number. The element $\beta^j=[xyz]$ is defined as follows:

$\beta^j=[xyz] =x*\alpha^4 + y*\alpha^2 + z*\alpha^1 =x*\beta^4+y*\beta^2+z*\beta^1$.

27

With this definition and by setting y=z=0 and x=1, it is possible to obtain $\beta^4=[100]=\alpha^4$;

and, similarly, $\beta^2=[010]=\alpha^2$, and $\beta^1=[001]=\alpha^1$. The normal basis representation of all of

the elements can be readily obtained, and are given by the following:

5    $[000] = 0; [001] = \beta^1 = \alpha^1; [010] = \beta^2 = \alpha^2; [011] = \beta^2+\beta^1=\alpha^2+\alpha^1=(100)+(010)=(110) =$

$\alpha^6 = \beta^6; [100] = \beta^4 = \alpha^4 ; [101] = \beta^4+\beta^1=\alpha^4+\alpha^1=(111)+(010)=(101) = \alpha^3 =\beta^3; [110] =$

$\beta^4+\beta^2=\alpha^4+\alpha^2=(111)+(100)=(011) = \alpha^5 = \beta^5;$ and $[111] = \beta^4+\beta^2+\beta^1=\alpha^4+\alpha^2+\alpha^1= (111)+$

$(100)+(010)=(001) = \alpha^0 =\beta^0$. The relation and elements are summarized below:

$$\alpha^0 = (001) = \beta^0 = [111]$$
10    $$\alpha^1 = (010) = \beta^1 = [001]$$
$$\alpha^2 = (100) = \beta^2 = [010]$$
$$\alpha^3 = (101) = \beta^3 = [101]$$
$$\alpha^4 = (111) = \beta^4 = [100]$$
$$\alpha^5 = (011) = \beta^5 = [110]$$
15    $$\alpha^6 = (110) = \beta^6 = [011]$$

From this point onward, only the normal basis representation β^j is used.

The matrix G4 (from FIG. 7) for the GF(2^3) example is given by:
20

$$
G_4 =
\begin{bmatrix}
1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & 1\ 1\ 1 & 1 \\
0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & 1\ 1\ 0 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & 1\ 0\ 1 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & 1\ 0\ 0 & 1 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0 & 1 & 0\ 1\ 1 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0 & 1 & 0\ 1\ 0 & 1 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1 & 1 & 0\ 0\ 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & \beta^0 & 1 \\
0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & \beta^5 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & \beta^3 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 1 & \beta^4 & 1 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0 & 1 & \beta^6 & 0 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0 & 1 & \beta^2 & 1 \\
0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0 & 1 & \beta^1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

25
30

The matrix G6 (from FIG. 12) for the present example is given by (with columns 1 and 3

represented by β^k and β^{5k}, respectively) :

$$\begin{array}{l}
1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 1\,1\,1 \quad 1 \quad 1\,1\,1\,1 \qquad\qquad 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^0 \quad 1 \\[4pt]
0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 1\,1\,0 \quad 0 \quad 1\,0\,0\,1 \qquad\qquad 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^5 \quad 0 \quad \beta^4 \quad 1 \\[4pt]
0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 1\,0\,1 \quad 0 \quad 0\,0\,1\,1 \qquad\qquad 0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^3 \quad 0 \quad \beta^1 \quad 1 \\[4pt]
G_6 = \quad 0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 1\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 0\,1\,1\,0 \; = \; 0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^4 \quad 1 \quad \beta^6 \quad 0 \\[4pt]
0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad 0\,1\,1 \quad 0 \quad 0\,1\,0\,1 \qquad\qquad 0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^6 \quad 0 \quad \beta^2 \quad 1 \\[4pt]
0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 \quad 1 \quad 0\,1\,0 \quad 1 \quad 1\,0\,1\,0 \qquad\qquad 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^2 \quad 1 \quad \beta^3 \quad 0 \\[4pt]
0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1 \quad 1 \quad 0\,0\,1 \quad 1 \quad 1\,1\,0\,0 \qquad\qquad 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 \quad 1 \quad \beta^1 \quad 1 \quad \beta^5 \quad 0
\end{array}$$

Letting the information bits be [0 0 1 0 0 1 1], the code word is given by

[0 0 1 0 0 1 1]*G_6 = [0 0 1 0 0 1 1 　1 　1 1 0 　0 　0 1 0 　1] = c. Assuming an error is

[0 0 0 1 0 1 0 　0 　0 0 0 　0 0 0 　0] = e, the read back corrupted code word is

[0 0 1 1 0 0 1 　1 　1 1 0 　0 　0 1 0 　1]. The data bit of the read back corrupted code

word is used to compute the following check bits:

[0 0 1 1 0 0 1]*G_6 = [1 　0 0 0 　0 　1 0 0 1]. Exclusive-ORing the above with the check

bits of the read back corrupted codeword gives:

[1 　1 1 0 　0 　0 1 0 　1] + [1 　0 0 0 　0 　1 0 0 1] = [0 1 1 0 　0 1 1 0 0] = [1 β^5 　0 　β^5 　0].

Therefore, $S_1 = \beta^5$ and $S_5 = \beta^5$.

Assuming the two errors are β^i and β^j, it is possible to form the following two

equations:

$$\beta^i + \beta^j = S_1 = \beta^5 ; \text{ and} \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 3}$$

$$\beta^{5i} + \beta^{5j} = S_5 = \beta^5. \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 4}$$

Raising Eq. 3 to the fifth power gives the following equation:

$$\beta^{5i} + \beta^{4i} * \beta^{j} + + \beta^{i} * \beta^{4j} + \beta^{5j} = \beta^{25} = \beta^{4}.$$ Eq. 5

Adding Eq. 4 to Eq. 5 gives

$$\beta^{4i} * \beta^{j} + + \beta^{i} * \beta^{4j} = \beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * (\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j}) = \beta^{5} + \beta^{4} = \beta^{2}, \text{ or}$$

$$\beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * (\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j}) = \beta^{2}.$$ Eq. 6

In Eq. 6, both $\beta^{i} * \beta^{j}$ and $(\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j})$ are unknown, and the goal is to find $\beta^{i} * \beta^{j}$. Raising

S_1 to cubic power gives:

$$\beta^{3i} + \beta^{2i} * \beta^{j} + \beta^{i} * \beta^{2j} + \beta^{3j} = S_1^{3} = \beta^{1}, \text{ or}$$
$$(\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j}) + \beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * (\beta^{i} + \beta^{j}) = \beta^{1}, \text{ or}$$
$$(\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j}) + \beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * S_1 = \beta^{1}, \text{ or}$$
$$(\beta^{3i} + \beta^{3j}) + \beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * \beta^{5} = \beta^{1}.$$ Eq. 7

Substituting Eq. 7 into Eq. 6 provides the following:

$$\beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * (\beta^{i} * \beta^{j} * \beta^{5} + \beta^{1}) = \beta^{2}, \text{ or}$$

$$(\beta^{i} * \beta^{j})^{2} * \beta^{5} + (\beta^{i} * \beta^{j}) * \beta^{1} = \beta^{2}.$$ Eq. 8

If every term is divided by β^{5}, then $(\beta^{i} * \beta^{j})^{2} + (\beta^{i} * \beta^{j}) * \beta^{3} + \beta^{4} = 0$.

By letting $(\beta^{i} * \beta^{j}) = \beta^{3} * W$, $\beta^{6} * W^{2} + \beta^{6} * W + \beta^{4} = 0$, which leads to the following:

$$W^{2} + W + \beta^{5} = 0$$ Eq. 9

To solve a quadratic equation in $GF(2^{m})$, it is necessary to pre-store the solutions

$\beta^{1} + (\beta^{1})^{2} = \beta^{6} = [011]$ and $\beta^{6} + (\beta^{6})^{2} = \beta^{3} = [101]$. The requirement in this example is

that the constant term has to be of even weight. In Eq. 9, the constant term is $\beta^{5} = [110]$,

which can be decomposed into $[110] = [011] + [101]$. Consequently, one solution of Eq. 9

is $W = \beta^{1} + \beta^{6} = \beta^{2}$. The other solution for W is $\beta^{2} + \beta^{0} = \beta^{3}$. Therefore, the two

solutions for $(\beta^i * \beta^j) = \beta^3 * W$ are β^5 and β^6.

The method first tries $(\beta^i * \beta^j) = \beta^5$ and $(\beta^i + \beta^j) = S_1 = \beta^5$ in finding the solutions

to $x^2 + \beta^5 * x + \beta^5 = 0$. Using the same procedure as before, and letting $x = \beta^5 * y$, gives

$(\beta^5 * y)^2 + (\beta^5)^2 * y + \beta^5 = 0$. Dividing every term by $(\beta^5)^2$ gives $y^2 + y + \beta^2 = 0$.

Since $\beta^2 = [010]$ has an odd weight, $y^2 + y + \beta^2 = 0$ has no solutions.

Next the method solves the quadratic equation for $(\beta^i * \beta^j) = \beta^6$, which gives the

following equation:

$$x^2 + \beta^5 * x + \beta^6 = 0. \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 10}$$

Using $x = \beta^5 * y$ results in $(\beta^5 * y)^2 + (\beta^5)^2 * y + \beta^6 = 0$. Dividing every term by $(\beta^5)^2$ then

gives:

$$y^2 + y + \beta^3 = 0. \qquad\qquad \text{Eq. 11}$$

From the foregoing it can be seen that one solution for Eq. 11 is $y = \beta^6$ and the

other solution for Eq. 11 is $y = \beta^6 + \beta^0 = \beta^4$. Thus, the solutions for $x^2 + \beta^5 * x + \beta^6 = 0$

(Eq. 10) are $x = \beta^4$ and $x = \beta^2$. This result can be verified by determining that $\beta^4 + \beta^2 = \beta^5$

$= S_1$.

The first error location is given by $\beta^4 = [100]$. The actual error occurs at location

$100 - 1 = 011$ or bit 3, assuming the right-most data bit is bit 0. The second error

location is given by $\beta^2 = [010]$. Again, if the right-most data bit is bit 0, the actual error

occurs at location $010 - 1 = 001$ or bit 1.

For the case of G'₆, the error locations are obtained from β^k and β^{-k}. Using β^k

and β^{-k} may simplify the computation. The generator matrix G'₆ for the running example

31

is in the form of the following:

5

10

$$G'_6 = \begin{matrix} 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & 1\,1\,1 & 1 & 1\,1\,1 & 1 \\ 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & 1\,1\,0 & 0 & 0\,1\,0\,1 \\ 0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & 1\,0\,1 & 0 & 1\,0\,0\,1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & 1\,0\,0 & 1 & 1\,0\,1\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0 & 1 & 0\,1\,1 & 0 & 0\,0\,1\,1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 & 1 & 0\,1\,0 & 1 & 1\,1\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1 & 1 & 0\,0\,1 & 1 & 0\,1\,1\,0 \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & \beta^0 & 1 & \beta^0 & 1 \\ 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & \beta^5 & 0 & \beta^2 & 1 \\ 0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & \beta^3 & 0 & \beta^4 & 1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0 & 1 & \beta^4 & 1 & \beta^3 & 0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0 & 1 & \beta^6 & 0 & \beta^1 & 1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 & 1 & \beta^2 & 1 & \beta^5 & 0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0 & 1 & \beta^1 & 1 & \beta^6 & 0 \end{matrix}$$

Assuming the information bits to be [0 0 1 0 0 1 1], the code word is given by

[0 0 1 0 0 1 1]*G_6' = [0 0 1 0 0 1 1   1   1 1 0   0   0 0 1   1] = c'. Assuming the error

is [0 0 0 1 0 1 0   0   0 0 0   0 0 0 0   0] = e'=e, the read back corrupted code word

is [0 0 1 1 0 0 1   1   1 1 0   0   0 0 1   1]. Using the data bit of the read back

corrupted code word to compute the check bits thus gives

[0 0 1 1 0 0 1]*G_6' = [1 000 0 010 1]. Exclusive-ORing the above with the check bit

of the read back corrupted codeword gives

[1   1 1 0   0   0 0 1   1] + [1 000 0 010 1] = [0 110 0 011 0] = [1 β^5 0 β^6 0].

Therefore, $S_1 = \beta^5$ and $S_{-1} = \beta^6$.

Assuming the two errors are β^i and β^j, it is possible to form the following two

equations:

$\beta^i + \beta^j = S_1 = \beta^5$; and   Eq. 12

32

$$\beta^{-i} + \beta^{-j} = S_{-1} = \beta^6.$$ Eq. 13

Since it is known that $S_0 = 0$ because there are two errors, then

$(\beta^i * \beta^j)*S_{-1} + (\beta^i + \beta^j)*S_0 + \beta^0*S_1 = 0$, or $(\beta^i * \beta^j)* \beta^6 + \beta^5 = 0$,

and thus $(\beta^i * \beta^j) = \beta^5/\beta^6 = \beta^6$. The equation to solve is the following:

$x^2 + (\beta^i + \beta^j)*x + (\beta^i * \beta^j) = 0$, or $x^2 + \beta^5 *x + \beta^6 = 0$. Eq. 14

Since Eq. 14 is the same as Eq. 10, it is understood to be the correct equation.

It is to be understood that while the invention has been described in conjunction with the detailed description thereof, the foregoing description is intended to illustrate and not limit the scope of the invention, which is defined by the scope of the appended claims. Other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims. All publications and references cited herein are expressly incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

What is claimed is:

33